

## DOINGS OF THE VAN LOONS

..

..

Anyway, Father Isn't Denying the Folks Fresh Air



Copyright 1914, by The McClure Newspaper Syndicate

BASEBALL, FOOTBALL, CRICKET, TRACK AND FIELD AND AQUATICS.

## Leading Events In the World of Sports

BOXING, WRESTLING, ROWING, AUTOMOBILE RACING AND TENNIS.

## SMALL CROWDS; LEAGUE QUILTS

Fence Jumpers Prove Hoodoo of Baseball Independents

The Independent baseball league composed of the Portage, Calumet, Laurium and Ahmeek teams has disbanded. Yesterday's game between the Calumet and Laurium teams, which resulted in a victory for Calumet by the score of 6 to 1, probably will be the last played in the league this season, although some of the teams will remain intact and may play special exhibition games.

Poor support is given as the reason for the discontinuance of games, although no formal action has been taken to disband the league. The clubs with apparently mutual consent, are willing to drop the league. The fence jumpers seem to be the league's "hooodoo," all efforts to police the grounds having proven unsuccessful. Frequently at games played at the Laurium park, a crowd of several hundred has witnessed the sport from the roofs of adjacent buildings or have scaled the fence, while the actual paid admission have been less than one hundred.

At some games as many as four policemen have been employed by the park management and the league to check the practice, but their efforts have been in vain. Under these conditions, the managements of the various teams have experienced difficulty in obtaining funds, and it was decided wise to discontinue.

The league has provided excellent baseball, considerably faster than the games of the Calumet & Hecla league, for the aggregations have really been all-star lines, including the copper country's best known players.

**Calumet vs. Laurium.**

After being held scoreless through eight innings by Pitcher Eaton's effective work on the mound, Laurium had a hitting rally in the ninth in the game against Calumet at the Laurium driving park yesterday and scored one lone run. Calumet had all the better of the play. Eaton allowed but six hits and Davidson, who was on the firing line for Laurium, granted eight safeties. One of the features of the game was the batting of Foley for Calumet, he having secured two singles and a two-bagger in five times up, also stealing five bases.

The score by innings was as follows:

Inning	Calumet	Laurium
1	0	0
2	0	0
3	0	0
4	0	0
5	0	0
6	0	0
7	0	0
8	0	0
9	6	1

Batteries—Eaton and Savini; Davidson and Chaille. Earned runs—Calumet, 2; Laurium, 1. Two-base hits—Foley. Three-base hits—Gillip, Tornquist and Borgeson. Bases on balls—Off Eaton, 2; Davidson, 3. Struck out—By Eaton, 9; Davidson, 11. Left on base—Calumet, 8; Laurium, 7. Umpire—Sincow.

## SPORT CHAZE IN ENGLAND.

**Industrial Disorganization Threatened Is Claim.**

The average follower of English sports has always insisted that Americans take their games and contests too seriously. Recently, however, the case appears to be on the other foot for these in charge of big commercial enterprises abroad have contended that the craze for sports was seriously interfering with the efficiency of their work.

An example is shown in the case of an employee of a big steel plant who attended a football cup final in his employer's time and without permission. When the firm learned of the matter he was discharged. More than 30 employees struck as a result of the action and it was several days before the difficulty was satisfactorily adjusted. The manager of the plant stated: "The way in which workmen have become engrossed in football and other sports often involves industrial disorganization. Similar charges have yet to be brought against American sports."

In an aviation school in Berlin the machines are suspended from a circular track, until the students learn enough to be trusted to operate them free from control.

## BASEBALL

Continued From Second Page.

Snyder, Two-base hits—Connelly, Boston; C. Miller, St. Louis. Three-base hits—Wilson and Maceo, St. Louis; Evers, Boston.

**Federal League.**

Team	R	H	E
Ind.	0	0	0
Chicago	1	0	0
Batteries—Mullen, Moseley and Rariden; Fisk, Hendrix and Wilson.			
Second game—			
Indianapolis	0	0	0
Chicago	0	0	0
Batteries—Billard and Wallace; Frendberg and Block.			

**American Association.**

Team	R	H	E
Kansas City	1	0	0
St. Louis	0	3	1
Batteries—Stone, Cullup, Harris and Easterly; Dayenport and Chapman.			

## SATURDAY'S GAMES.

**American League.**

Team	R	H	E
Chicago	3	10	0
New York	0	2	2
Batteries—Faber, Russell, Benz, Cicotte and Schalk; Brown, Cole and Sweeney. Two-base hits—Weaver, Chicago; Sweeney, Maimel, New York. Home run—Fournier, Chicago.			

Cleveland ..... 000 100 200—3 8 4  
Boston ..... 100 200 100—4 8 1  
Batteries—Mitchell and O'Neill; Ruth and Carrigan. Three-base hits—Gardner, Boston.

Detroit ..... 010 000 001—2 7 6  
Washington ..... 100 300 000—4 10 0  
Batteries—Dauss, Hall, Reynolds and Starnes; Baker, Boehling and Henry. Two-base hits—Veatch (2), Detroit; Milan, Washington.

**First game.**

Team	R	H	E
St. Louis	2	00	000 000—4 16 1
Philadelphia	0	00	120 000 000—3 9 1
Batteries—Wellman, Baumgardner and Crossin; Shawkey and Schanz. Two-base hits—Pratt, St. Louis; Walsh, Gidring, Philadelphia. Home runs—C. Walker (2), St. Louis.			

**(Second game)**

Team	R	H	E
St. Louis	1	00	001 200—4 8 2
Philadelphia	0	00	100 000—6 9 3
Batteries—James, Wellman and Agnew, Crossin; Davies and Lapp, Schanz. Two-base hits—Pratt, St. Louis; Baker, Davies, Philadelphia.			

**National League.**

Team	R	H	E
Boston	0	02	020 001—5 10 0
Chicago	0	11	000 000—2 6 4
Batteries—Rudolph and Whaling; Humphries, Hagaman, Smith and Hargrave. Two-base hits—Smith, Boston; Schulte, Leach, Chicago. Home run—Schulte, Chicago.			

Brooklyn ..... 000 101 003—5 10 2  
Cincinnati ..... 010 320 000—6 9 4  
Batteries—Pfeffer, Atchison and Fischer; Ames and Erwin. Two-base hits—Miller, Dornick, Cincinnati; O'Mara, Brooklyn. Three-base hit—Egan, Brooklyn. Home runs—E. Win, Niehoff, Cincinnati.

Philadelphia ..... 100 000 000—1 5 2  
Pittsburgh ..... 000 111 000—3 7 1  
Batteries—Rixey, Oeschger and Kilflinger; Marnaux and Coleman. Two-base hit—Byrnes, Philadelphia.

New York ..... 000 000 000—13 18 2  
St. Louis ..... 012 011 201—5 16 4  
Batteries—Mathewson and Meyers; Sallee, Griner, Steele, Niehaus and Wingo. Two-base hits—Butler, St. Louis; Fletcher, Merkle, Burns, Stock, Snodgrass, New York. Three-base hits—Beck, Miller, Maceo, St. Louis; Murray, New York. Home runs—Wilson, Dolan, Cruise, St. Louis; Boyle, New York.

**Federal League.**

Team	R	H	E
First game—			
Buffalo	1	00	000 000—1 6 0
Baltimore	0	10	000 000—2 7 1
Batteries—Krapp and Blair; Quinn and Russell.			

**(Second game)**

Team	R	H	E
Buffalo	0	10	020 000 000—3 12 1
Balt.	0	11	000 100 000—3 8 5
Batteries—Anderson and Lavigne;			

## TWO TIES IN C. &amp; H. LEAGUE

Calumet Now Has Claim to Third Place—Saturday's Games

## C. &amp; H. LEAGUE STANDINGS.

Team	W.	L.	Pct.
Ahmeek	5	3	.625
Hubbell	5	3	.625
Hecla	4	3	.572
Calumet	4	3	.572
Lakes	3	5	.366
Portage	2	6	.250

As a result of Saturday's games in the C. & H. league, Ahmeek and Hubbell are tied for first place, and Hecla and Calumet are tied for third.

Ahmeek made it five straight Saturday, by defeating Hecla 6 to 1. Hiltunen pitched fine ball for Ahmeek. Chaput was hit hard and was taken out by Manager Orth of the Hecla team in the sixth inning, being replaced by Eaton. Eaton held the Keweenaw bunch safe till the end of the game.

**Calumet Defeats Portage.**

Foley, who pitched for Calumet, threw hitless ball in Saturday's game against Portage at Calumet in every inning but the eighth, when he was found for three safeties. These, with a walk and two errors, gave the visitors five runs. Calumet bunched hits in the fourth inning and scored three runs off Wagner. Errors were responsible for runs in the first and fifth, while Chaille's two-bagger sent Foley home with the winning run in the ninth after the Calumet pitcher had stolen second and third.

The score:

Team	R	H	E
Portage	0	00	000 050—5 3 2
Calumet	0	10	210 001—6 9 4
Batteries—Charley Wagner and Gilbert; Foley and Pascoe, Chaille.			

**Hubbell, 5; Lake Linden, 4.**

Thirteen innings were played by the Hubbell and Lake Linden teams before the contest was decided. In the final frame Hubbell scored two runners while the best Lake Linden could do was bring one runner across. Errors contributed largely to the scoring of both teams.

The score:

Team	R	H	E
Lake Linden	2	00	000 000 0—4 8 4
Hubbell	0	11	001 000 000—2 5 7
Batteries—Glesner and Parks; Vallor and LaChance.			

## ENGLAND NOW FIGHT MAD: GREAT CROWD FOR SMITH-CARPENTIER.

London, July 13.—Boxing enthusiasm is again at fever heat. This time over the bout between Gimbooth Smith of the United States and Georges Carpentier of France in this city next Thursday night.

The American is favorite in sentiment, although most of the bets are being laid at even money. The Britons view Smith cordially because Carpentier has badly beaten Bombardier Wells, who is a great favorite with the fighting public of Great Britain.

There is a heavy demand for seats and it is certain that the crowd will be as big, if not bigger, than that which saw Welsh defeat Ritchie Tuesday night.

Blair, Smith, Conley and Jacklitch. Kansas City ..... 000 002 100—3 7 3  
St. Louis ..... 012 000 300—6 11 2  
Batteries—Adams, Harris and Easterly; Brown, Crandall and Chapman.

**First game—**

Team	R	H	E
Pittsburgh	0	00	000 000—0 3 0
Brooklyn	0	10	000 000—1 5 0
Batteries—Barger and Berry; Seaton and Land.			

**(Second game)**

Team	R	H	E
Pittsburgh	0	10	010 000—2 7 4
Brooklyn	0	12	200 010—8 14 0
Batteries—Walker and E. Roberts; Laute and Owens.			

**American Association.**

Team	R	H	E
Indianapolis	0	20	000 010—3 8 0
Chicago	0	20	000 000—2 3 0
Batteries—Falkenberg and Rariden; Lange and Wilson.			

## LIMITATION ON HENLEY RACES

Competition Open to Clubs Not to Pick of Every Nation

London, July 13.—The stewards of the English Royal Henley Regatta, where American and other foreign crews and scullers competed so meritoriously last week have taken decisive steps to fix the status of these rowing races in the future. Because of the prominent and classic history of this regatta and its trophies there is a constantly increasing pressure from foreign rowing clubs to be permitted to enter their best scullers, fours and eights. Under the affiliation agreement between the British amateur Rowing Association and similar associations of other countries the crews of any club, a member of these associations are eligible for entry at Henley providing their amateur status complies with the Henley regulations.

The Australian oarsmen, however, brought forward a point which the Henley stewards refused to concede when they asked permission to enter an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union or federation having an agreement with the committee of management of Henley Royal Regatta. This rule obviously contemplates, and has always been interpreted as contemplating, a union or federation of separate clubs duly affiliated thereto, which by virtue of its constitution exercises control over those clubs. If such an entry were permitted in one instance, other bodies would follow suit, and the United Kingdom would, in self defense, be compelled to form a representative crew, probably every year. This would entirely alter the character of Henley Regatta, and would be seriously detrimental to the rowing of those clubs and colleges by which Henley Regatta has been supported since its institution, and which constitute the backbone of rowing in this country. No doubt there have been many entries in the past at Henley Regatta from the Oversea Dominions, as well as from foreign countries, but they have been from clubs formed on a basis similar to that of the rowing clubs in this country. No entry has ever been tendered by an all-Australian eight at the recent regatta. The secretary of the regatta management replied in part to the request as follows:

"My committee, after very careful examination are of opinion that, under the rules, this would be impossible. By rule IV, the entry of a crew from outside the United Kingdom cannot be accepted unless such crew belongs to a club which is affiliated to a union